

M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme

Statement of Commonality TR010063 - APP 8.1 (tracked)

Regulation 5 (2) (q)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 8

July ~~October~~ November 2024

Infrastructure Planning Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme Development Consent Order 202[x]

8.1 Statement of Commonality

(tracked version)

Regulation Number:	Regulation 5(2)(q)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010063
Application Document Reference	TR010063 – APP 8.1
Author:	M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme Project Team

Version	Date	Status of Version
Rev 0	December 2023	DCO Application
Rev 1	June 2024	Deadline 1 submission
Rev 2	July 2024	Deadline 3 submission
Rev 3	October 2024	Deadline 5 submission
<u>Rev 4</u>	<u>November 2024</u>	<u>Deadline 10 submission</u>

Contents

Chapter	Page
1. Introduction	4
1.1. Purpose of this Document	4
2. Structure of Statements of Common Ground	5
3. List of Statements of Common Ground	6
4. Summary of current position	7
5. Commonality	13
6. Position at time of Deadline 10 (28 November 2024)	18
6.2. Joint Councils	18
6.3. Natural England	18
6.4. Historic England	18
6.5. National Highways	19
6.6. Environment Agency	20
6.7. North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor Homes & Persimmon Homes	20
6.8. West Cheltenham (Golden Valley) – Midland Land Portfolio Limited ('MLPL') & Cheltenham Borough Council	21
6.9. Safeguarded Site adjacent M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes	21

Tables

Table 3-1 – List of Parties entered into an SoCG with the Applicant	6
Table 4-1 – High Level Position of SoCGs	8
Table 5-1 – Table of Commonality at time of Deadline 10 submission	14

Figures

Figure 5-1 -Commonality Colour Categorisation	13
---	----

1. Introduction

1.1. Purpose of this Document

1.1.1. This Statement of Commonality for Statements of Common Ground (this 'Statement') relates to an application made by Gloucestershire County Council (GCC) (the 'Applicant') to the Planning Inspectorate under Section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 (the 'Act') for a Development Consent Order (a 'DCO'). If made, the DCO would grant consent for the Applicant to construct the M5 Junction 10 Improvements scheme (the 'Scheme'). A detailed description of the Scheme can be found in the Environmental Statement (the 'ES') Chapters 1-4 (APP-060 - 063).

1.1.2. This Statement has been prepared to provide the Examining Authority (the "ExA") with a summary of the current position at the time of submission of the DCO.

1.1.3. This Statement provides the latest and final current position on the commonality on specific points between the Applicant and third parties to which an SoCG has been prepared SoCG's at Examination Deadline ~~Deadline 5~~ on 01-28 November ~~October~~ 2024. ~~The Statement was not submitted at Deadline 4 therefore, it reflects the position between parties at Deadline 4 and Deadline 5.~~

1.1.4-1.1.3.

2. Structure of Statements of Common Ground

- 2.1.1. The SoCGs have been structured in a generally consistent form and set out those matters which are agreed, the matters subject to further discussion and those matters which are not agreed. Each SoCG has been tailored according to the approach agreed with the interested party concerned.
- 2.1.2. Each SoCG has the following structure:
- Section 1: Introduces the SoCG and provides a description of its purpose.
 - Section 2: Outlines the engagement that has taken place with the interested party.
 - Section 3 sets out the topics discussed in the SoCG
 - Sections 4 and 5: sets out any issues that have arisen, reporting on the status of each issue, i.e., whether it is agreed, still under discussion or not agreed and any remaining actions.
- 2.1.3. Where relevant, documents that are referenced in the SoCG, but do not form part of the application, have been appended to the SoCG, to draw the ExA's attention to further relevant information.
- 2.1.4. Each SoCG includes a list of topics, unless the relevant parties consider that there are no issues to address on a particular topic.

3. List of Statements of Common Ground

3.1.1. The Applicant has prepared SoCGs with a number of parties during the preparation of the DCO application. This includes organisations with which the Applicant has a statutory duty to consult with, covered under section 42 of the Planning Act 2008. It also includes other organisations which have an interest in the Scheme and with whom the Applicant has formally consulted.

3.1.2. The parties with which the Applicant has prepared an SoCG are listed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1 – List of Parties entered into an SoCG with the Applicant

Party
Local Authorities (as defined under section 42 (1)(b) of the Planning Act 2008)
1. The “Joint Councils” – comprising Gloucestershire County Council, Cheltenham Borough Council, and Tewkesbury Borough Council.
Prescribed Consultees (as defined under section 42 (1)(a) of the Planning Act 2008)
2. National Highways
3. Environment Agency
4. Natural England
5. Historic England
Other Interested Parties
6. North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor <u>Homes</u> and Persimmon Homes
7. Safeguarded Site adjacent to M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes
8. West Cheltenham (Golden Valley) – Midlands <u>Land Portfolio Limited</u> (“MLPL”) and Cheltenham Borough Council <u>HBD</u>

4. Summary of current position

- 4.1.1. This section provides the current position in respect of each SoCG. The draft SoCGs have been submitted alongside this Statement of Commonality.
- 4.1.2. Table 4-1 provides a high-level position and where necessary includes further detail to aid the ExA's understanding. The high-level positions used in the table are:
- **Agreed with no outstanding matters** – The final SoCG has been agreed by both parties and either all matters are agreed, or some matters are agreed but there are matters which are not agreed and which will not be resolved during examination. Where matters are not agreed, the SoCG provides reasons for the disagreement between the parties.
 - **Agreed SoCG with matters outstanding** – An interim SoCG has been agreed by both parties, but there remain matters outstanding that the Applicant and the interested parties will seek to resolve during the remainder of the examination. Where matters are outstanding, the SoCG indicates the steps which the Applicant (and, where appropriate, the interested party) will take in order to seek to resolve them.
 - **SoCG in draft with matters outstanding** – An SoCG has been drafted by the Applicant, has been shared with the interested party and some comments have been provided in response although the draft is not yet in agreed form. The Applicant anticipates being in a position to provide an agreed form of the SoCG with these parties by the final deadline, if not sooner where this may be of assistance to the ExA.

Table 4-1 – High Level Position of SoCGs

Document Reference	Party/ Parties	Position at time of DCO Submission	Position at Deadline 1 18 June 2024	Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024	Position at Deadline 3 30 July 2024	Position at Deadline 5 01 October 2024	Position at Deadline 10 28 November 2024
Local Authorities							
APP-146	Joint Councils	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	Following a series of specialist meetings, the Joint Councils and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG with matters agreed and matters still outstanding. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision provided with D1 documents. Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding.	SoCG in draft with 10 -matters outstanding submitted at Deadline 4 (03 September 2024).	<u>Final position SoCG signed between parties with two matters outstanding (SocG Item 21.1 and 21.2).</u> <u>Please see "List of Matters not agreed where SoCG could not be finalised" (TR010063/APP/9.96) for details on the matters not agreed.</u>
Prescribed Consultees							

Document Reference	Party/ Parties	Position at time of DCO Submission	Position at Deadline 1 18 June 2024	Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024	Position at Deadline 3 30 July 2024	Position at Deadline 5 01 October 2024	Position at Deadline 10 28 November 2024
APP-147	National Highways	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	National Highways and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision provided with D1 documents. Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision provided with D3 documents. Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding. Discussions are ongoing with National Highways and updated SoCG to be submitted at an agreed deadline between parties.	<u>Final position SoCG signed between parties with 11 matters outstanding. Please see “List of Matters not agreed where SoCG could not be finalised” (TR010063/APP/9.96) for details on the matters not agreed.</u>
APP-148	Environment Agency	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	The Environment Agency and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with five matters outstanding submitted at Deadline 4 (03 September 2024).	<u>SoCG finalised and signed with all matters agreed between parties.</u>

Document Reference	Party/ Parties	Position at time of DCO Submission	Position at Deadline 1 18 June 2024	Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024	Position at Deadline 3 30 July 2024	Position at Deadline 5 01 October 2024	Position at Deadline 10 28 November 2024
			iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision provided with D1 documents. Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.				
APP-149	Natural England	Agreed with no matters outstanding	Natural England and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG with all matters now agreed. Final SoCG provided with D1 documents as all matters now agreed.	Agreed with no matters outstanding	Agreed with no matters outstanding	Agreed with no matters outstanding. Minor amendments made to be submitted at D5.	<u>SoCG finalised and signed with all matters agreed between parties.</u>
APP-150	Historic England	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	Historic England and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft, remaining matters pending further discussion. Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed	<u>SoCG finalised and signed with all matters agreed between parties.</u>

Document Reference	Party/ Parties	Position at time of DCO Submission	Position at Deadline 1 18 June 2024	Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024	Position at Deadline 3 30 July 2024	Position at Deadline 5 01 October 2024	Position at Deadline 10 28 November 2024
			iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision provided with D1 documents. Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.			deadline between parties.	
Other Interested Parties							
APP-151	North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor and Persimmon Homes	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	No revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet. The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding. SoCG has been shared with the developer and awaiting their review. A updated SoCG has been submitted at Deadline 5.	<u>Final position SoCG signed between parties with seven matters outstanding. Please see “List of Matters not agreed where SoCG could not be finalised” (TR010063/APP/9.96) for details on the matters not agreed.</u>
APP-152	Safeguarded Site adjacent to M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	No revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet. The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding. SoCG has been shared with the developer and awaiting their	<u>Final position SoCG signed between parties with six matters outstanding. Please see “List of Matters not agreed where SoCG could</u>

Document Reference	Party/ Parties	Position at time of DCO Submission	Position at Deadline 1 18 June 2024	Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024	Position at Deadline 3 30 July 2024	Position at Deadline 5 01 October 2024	Position at Deadline 10 28 November 2024
			can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.			review. A updated SoCG has been submitted at Deadline 5.	<u>not be finalised” (TR010063/APP/9.96) for details on the matters not agreed.</u>
APP-153	West Cheltenham Golden Valley – MLP and Cheltenham Borough Council	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	No revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet. The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding.	SoCG in draft with matters outstanding. SoCG has been shared with the developer and awaiting their review. A updated SoCG has been submitted at Deadline 5.	<u>Final position SoCG signed between parties with four matters outstanding. Please see “List of Matters not agreed where SoCG could not be finalised” (TR010063/APP/9.96) for details on the matters not agreed.</u>

5. Commonality

- 5.1.1. This section of the Statement provides a summary of principal issues covered in each of the SoCGs and demonstrates where there is commonality in the topics or matters.
- 5.1.2. Table 5-1 is presented in such a way to show topics covered within the various SoCGs, how these relate to each other interested party and a colour categorization for each topic. The colour categories are illustrated in [Figure 5-1](#) and seek to provide a high-level summary of matters, and do not necessarily indicate that all matters within the topic are either agreed or not agreed:

Figure 5-1 -Commonality Colour Categorisation

	There is broad agreement on specific matters within this general topic area.
	Matters within this topic area are subject to further discussion.
	There is a general disagreement between the parties within this general topic area.
	Matter is not relevant to interested party / not included in SoCG.

- 5.1.3. Where a matter is not relevant to an interested party, it is not included within the SoCG and therefore not covered in Table 5-1, where it is shown as blue.

Table 5-1 – Table of Commonality at time of Deadline 4-10 submission

Party	Topic																					
	Funding	Traffic and Transport	Environmental Management Plan	Land	Draft Development Consent Order	Engineering Design	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Climate	Population and Human Health	Materials and Waste	Cultural Heritage	Geology and Soils	Landscape and Visual	Road Drainage and Water Environment	Biodiversity	Noise and Vibration	Air Quality	Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology	Assessment of Alternatives	Statutory Consultation	Principle of Development	
Joint Councils	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
National Highways	Green	Green	Green	Green	Orange	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Orange	Green
Environment Agency	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Blue	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue	Blue

		Topic																					
Party		Funding	Traffic and Transport	Environmental Management Plan	Land	Draft Development Consent Order	Engineering Design	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Climate	Population and Human Health	Materials and Waste	Cultural Heritage	Geology and Soils	Landscape and Visual	Road Drainage and Water Environment	Biodiversity	Noise and Vibration	Air Quality	Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology	Assessment of Alternatives	Statutory Consultation	Principle of Development	
Natural England																							
Historic England																							

Party	Topic																					
	Funding	Traffic and Transport	Environmental Management Plan	Land	Draft Development Consent Order	Engineering Design	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Climate	Population and Human Health	Materials and Waste	Cultural Heritage	Geology and Soils	Landscape and Visual	Road Drainage and Water Environment	Biodiversity	Noise and Vibration	Air Quality	Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology	Assessment of Alternatives	Statutory Consultation	Principle of Development	
North West Cheltenham Elms Park – Bloor Homes & Persimmon Homes																						
Safeguarded Site adjacent to M5 J10 – Bloor Homes																						

Party	Topic																					
	Funding	Traffic and Transport	Environmental Management Plan	Land	Draft Development Consent Order	Engineering Design	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Climate	Population and Human Health	Materials and Waste	Cultural Heritage	Geology and Soils	Landscape and Visual	Road Drainage and Water Environment	Biodiversity	Noise and Vibration	Air Quality	Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology	Assessment of Alternatives	Statutory Consultation	Principle of Development	
West Cheltenham Golden Valley MLPL & Cheltenham Borough Council, HBD Factory, NEMA																						

6. Position ~~as at time of Deadline 5~~10 (~~01-28 October~~November- 2024)

6.1.1. This section provides a summary of the position between the Applicant, and each interested party as at the time of ~~Deadline 5~~10, this is the final position for all SoCGs. ~~This section will be updated at each deadline when the revised SoCGs will be submitted, to explain what has changed since the previous iteration.~~ The individual SoCGs should be referred to for further detail on specific matters.

6.2. Joint Councils

6.2.1. Since the Preferred Route Announcement in June 2021, a series of regular meetings have been held with the Joint Councils.

~~6.2.2.~~ Following a series of specialist meetings, the Joint Councils and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG with matters agreed and matters still outstanding.

~~6.2.2.6.2.3.~~ Since Deadline 4 discussions have been ongoing in relation to archaeology, active travel, and transport and these are now resolved.

~~6.2.4.~~ There are two remaining principal matters outstanding which both relate to Scheme's integration with active travel opportunities, illumination of the underpass, further details relating to transport assessment, and the methodology for developer contributions ~~the Scheme's funding methodology to seek contributions from developers of the neighbouring site allocations.~~

~~6.2.3.~~

~~6.2.4.~~ Since deadline 4 discussions have been ongoing in relation to archaeology, active travel, methodology for developer contributions and transport.

~~6.2.5.~~ Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination at an agreed deadline between the parties.

6.3. Natural England

6.3.1. There are no matters outstanding with Natural England as all agreed.

6.3.2. Letters of No Impediment have been agreed with Natural England and submitted with the updated SoCG at Deadline 1.

~~6.3.3.~~ Following the Issue Specific Hearing 3 (ISH3), matter 10.1 has been amended and agreed with Natural England.

~~6.3.3.6.3.4.~~ A final version of the SoCG has been agreed, signed and will be to the Examination at the specified deadline in the Examination timetable has been submitted to Examination at Deadline 10.

6.4. Historic England

~~6.4.1.~~ Historic England has been consulted on the Scheme since June 2021, with regular meetings taking place.

~~6.4.2.~~ Historic England and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG as submitted at Deadline 3.

~~6.4.3.6.4.1.~~ The principal matter outstanding relates to Historic England wanting to review the further iterations of the AMP. The wording of Requirement 9 has now been agreed and will be reflected in an updated SoCG. Historic England still wishes opportunities to improve Meat House agreed that this is outside the Scope of the DCO.

- ~~6.4.4. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit final version of the SoCG to the Examination at the specified deadline in the Examination timetable.~~
- ~~6.4.2. Since dDeadline 5, the remaining outstanding matters relating to the AMP 1st iteration have now been resolved. All matters are now agreed between parties.~~
- ~~6.4.3. A final version of the SoCG has been agreed, signed and has been submitted to Examination at Deadline 10.~~

6.5. National Highways

- ~~6.5.1. Since the preferred route announcement in June 2021 National Highways have been consulted on the Scheme.~~
- ~~6.5.2. Since the last submission of the SoCG between National Highways and the Applicant at Deadline 5, a numbermajority of matters have been resolved relating to land acquisition, some DCO articles and sSchedules, traffic modelling, REAC, rReservoir and Project Control Framework Governance. The remaining matters outstanding relate to the drafting of the dDCO, protective provisions and the provision of sufficient protection around funding. The Applicant would note that National Highways have confirmed that all matters are agreed pending completion of a side agreement. The Applicant expects this side agreement to be signed prior to close of examination and will update the ExA accordingly. Transfer of Benefits, Articles 10, 13 and 30, Protective provisions, Deemed eConsent, Funding Security, Cash Surety, Notice to Proceed, Collateral wWarranties and Liabilities~~
- ~~6.5.3. Please see Application Document: List of Matters not agreed where SoCG could not be finalised (TR010063/APP/9.96) for details on the matters outstanding, the latest position at Deadline 10 of the Applicant and of National Hgiighwhays and What is required to resolve this matter?~~
- ~~6.5.1. A meeting was held with National Highways in July 2022 to discuss the approach to the SoCG process given their differing roles on the project. During the preparation of the DCO, National Highways have been a contributor to the Scheme in relation to elements which relate to the Strategic Road Network (SRN). Once the DCO is submitted, National Highways will take on their role as a statutory body for the Scheme.~~
- ~~6.5.2. It has been agreed that, in order to avoid conflicts of interest, National Highways will enter into the SoCG process at the point of the submission of the DCO to the Examining Authority.~~
- ~~6.5.3. National Highways and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision is provided with Deadline 3 submission.~~
- ~~6.5.4. Since Deadline 1, regular meetings have been held to discuss National Highways' comments on Saturn modelling, and the DCO articles, schedules and protective provisions. Five of the outstanding matters from Deadline 2 are now agreed. Regular weekly meetings have been ongoing between parties during the examination.~~
- ~~6.5.5. At Deadline 4, the principal matters outstanding related to Draft DCO, Articles and Schedules, Funding and Collateral warranties, landscaping maintenance, Approach to land rights acquisition, Liabilities and Reservoir and Ownership. These issues remain as matters outstanding at Deadline 4 and 5. The Applicant has submitted in response to ExA 2nd Written Questions a table which provides an updated position from the Applicant with regard to the Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statement (PADSS), latest version submitted by National Highways at Deadline 3 (REP3-074).~~
- ~~6.5.6. With regard to traffic modelling, discussions have been ongoing between parties. As outlined in National Highways D4 response (REP4-049), the remaining outstanding concern with the traffic modelling is regarding validation of A4019 journey times in the base year model. The Applicant has submitted a Traffic Modelling Sensitivity Test Technical Note at D5 (TR010063/APP/9.79) to address this concern, which has been shared with National Highways. National Highways has confirmed that the SATURN~~

~~sensitivity modelling had alleviated its concerns regarding validation of journey times in the baseline model, but still needs to understand whether the do-minimum and do-something scenarios would equally show no material changes.~~

~~6.5.7. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.~~

6.6. Environment Agency

~~The Environment Agency and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the final SoCG. All matters have been agreed.~~

~~6.6.1. Since the last submission of the draft SoCG at Deadline 4, all matters have been agreed. As a result of Change Application 2, Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. At Deadline 4, a revised SoCG was submitted (REP4-024) in which further matters have been agreed. Two new matters have been added to the SoCG. The first relates to the impact of the new conveyance channel connecting to the Leigh Brook on fish. The second relates to the FRA and FRA addendum. Both matters have been agreed.~~

~~The remaining matters outstanding with the EA relate to:~~

~~6.6.1. A final version of the SoCG has been agreed, signed and has been submitted to Examination at Deadline 10.~~

~~The classification of the Link Road and A4019 works as Essential Infrastructure;~~

~~Review of the 2022 ordinary watercourse model for the watercourses to the southern end of the Link Road including requirement for additional modelling for blockage tests;~~

~~Wording of dDCO Schedule 2, Part 8, Requirements~~

~~Wording of dDCO Schedule 2, Part 2, Procedure for the discharge of requirements Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit final version of the SoCG to the Examination at the specified deadline in the Examination timetable.~~

6.7. North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor Homes & Persimmon Homes

~~6.7.1. The developers of Elms Park site have been formally consulted on the Scheme since the Preferred Route announcement in June 2021. A draft SoCG was shared with them in May 2023 along with draft DCO documents.~~

~~6.7.2. In the final SoCG there are six matters agreed which relate to the letters of support which outlined that, subject to a review of the revised funding methodology, there is support in principle of the development sites contributing to the methodology to make up the funding shortfall subject to a number of conditions.~~

~~6.7.1-6.7.3. A final version of the SoCG has been agreed and signed and has been submitted to Examination at Deadline 10. There are seven matters that will remain outstanding. Four of these relate to policy, alternatives and need, two relate to funding, and one relates to the Scheme overlap with the IPs development site.~~

~~6.7.2. Two matters have been agreed, following the issue of non-statutory targeted consultation information. A matter was raised regarding the design of the Scheme and its connection to the proposed Elms Park site. Alterations to the Scheme design that were being subject to targeted statutory consultation resolved this matter. The other issue raised objection to the Scheme proposals to ban right turn movements at the Tewkesbury Road / Retail Park Junction. This option is no longer part of the Scheme and therefore this matter has been resolved.~~

- ~~6.7.3. There are three key matters outstanding which relate to traffic modelling information, design changes to the Scheme which impact site accesses to the Elms Park site, and timing of the Scheme which is conditional on Bloor Homes and Persimmon Homes being able to progress the Elms Park development in a timely manner. The stakeholder wishes to agree a construction phasing plan which has regard to the Elms Park construction programme.~~
- ~~6.7.4. Since Deadline 1, there has been further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves through examination.~~
- ~~6.7.5. A revised SoCG has been shared with the developers and the principal outstanding matters relate to policy, need and alternatives, funding and the overlap in proposed highway works in connection with the Elms Park application. An updated SoCG is submitted at Deadline 5.~~

6.8. West Cheltenham (Golden Valley) – Midland Land Portfolio Limited ('MLPL') & Cheltenham Borough CouncilHBD

- ~~6.8.1. The developers of the Golden Valley site (West of Cheltenham), MLPL and Cheltenham Borough Council~~HBD, have been formally consulted on the Scheme since the Preferred Route Announcement in June 2021. A draft SoCG was shared with them in May 2023 along with draft DCO documents.
- ~~6.8.2. The stakeholder provided a response to the non-statutory targeted consultation in February 2023. The principal matters outstanding relate to timing of the works and Scheme design in relation to the interface between the Scheme boundaries and the West Cheltenham development site boundaries.~~
- ~~6.8.2. Since the Deadline 4-5 submission there has been further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. Eight items have been agreed as a result which relate to the Link Road alternatives, connection of the Link Road to the IP development (utility diversions), SANG, funding contributions and charging rationale. Meetings have been held to discuss active travel, development progress, viability and DCO contributions, and funding methodology.~~
- ~~6.8.3. A final version of the SoCG has been agreed, signed and has been submitted to Examination at Deadline 10. This includes the following items which remain outstanding, all other matters have been agreed:~~
- ~~● Link Road – Bus gate and scheme modelling~~
 - ~~● Drainage and Flood Risk – Old Gloucester Road drainage ditch diversion~~
 - ~~● Viability of CIL Charging~~
 - ~~● Funding – apportionment of deadweight capacity to the IPs development site~~
- ~~6.8.3. The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves through examination. A revised SoCG has been shared with the developers and the principal outstanding matters relate to policy, need and alternatives and funding. An updated SoCG is submitted at Deadline 5.~~

6.9. Safeguarded Site adjacent M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes

- ~~6.9.1. The developers of Elms Park site have been formally consulted on the Scheme since the Preferred Route Announcement in June 2021. A draft SoCG was shared with them in May 2023 along with draft DCO documents.~~
- ~~6.9.2. Since the Deadline 5 submission there has been further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. Three items have been agreed as a result which relate to funding contributions and secondary access to the site with and without the DCO.~~

~~6.9.3. A final version of the SoCG has been agreed, signed and has been submitted to Examination at Deadline 10. This includes the following items which remain outstanding, all other matters have been agreed:~~

- ~~• Scheme dependence~~
- ~~• Scheme design – site access~~

~~6.9.1. The principal matters outstanding relate to the principle of development, funding, and Scheme design in relation to the location of access to the safeguarded land.~~

~~6.9.2. Since Deadline 1 submission there has been further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. There has been engagement with the Applicant regarding developer contributions.~~

~~6.9.3. The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves through examination. A revised SoCG has been shared with the developers and the principal outstanding matters relate to policy, need and alternatives and funding. An updated SoCG is submitted at Deadline 5.~~

ATKINS

Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

5th Floor, Block 5
Shire Hall
Bearland
Gloucester
GL1 2TH

Tel: +44 (0) 8000 514 514